

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,328	07/18/2008	Peter Heinrichs	KU-05PCT	3629
Friedrich Kuet	7590 10/14/200 ffner	9	EXAM	UNER
317 Madison Avenue			MARCELO, EMMANUEL MONSAYAC	
Suite 910 New York, NY	č 10017		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3654	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/575,328	HEINRICHS, PETER		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Emmanuel M Marcelo	3654		

	Emmanuel M Marcelo	3654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of them may be audiable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 1369, in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expere SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expere SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to ruph within the set or outsincting period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (SI U.S.C, § 133). General called the market statute of the statute of the communication, count from light, any produce and called the market statute from distinction, count from light, any produce and						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	– action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 April 2006 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTC-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				

- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/05)
 - Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

- Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: ___

Art Unit: 3654

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 1 recites the broad recitation "a load", and the claim also recites "in particular a drilling device" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,328

Art Unit: 3654

With respect to claim 7, lines 3-4, "the output side", "the input side" and "the output shafts" lack positive antecedent basis in the claims.

With respect to claims 8-10, the term "acting" renders the claims indefinite. For example in claim 8, does the summation gear merely "act" like a two-speed gearbox or is it actually a two-speed gearbox?

With respect to claim 10, line 4, the recitation "the electrically acting brake device" lacks positive antecedent basis in the claims. Note that, in claim 9, "an electromagnetically acting brake device" is recited.

With respect to claim 14, lines 4-6, "the input shaft", "the gearbox", "the input shaft" and
"the output shaft" lack positive antecedent basis in the claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-14 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112. 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 1-14 is allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not teach or fairly suggest the entire combination of elements set forth including a summation gear as required in claim 1.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3654

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel M Marcelo whose telephone number is 571-272-6949. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Q. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-6952. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Emmanuel M Marcelo/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3654

/emm/